COUNTY OF ORANGE
OFFICE OF CARE COORDINATION

Orange County Continuum of Care (CoC) Housing Opportunities Committee Meeting October 14, 2026

Agenda

1. **CoC Strategic Plan: CoC Board Evaluation Framework –** Tim Shaw, Strategy Owner and CoC Board Member
    a. Review and discuss the drafted CoC Board evaluation framework
2. **Project Based Housing Updates**
    a. **Project-Based Voucher Pipeline –** Public Housing Authority (PHA) Representatives
    b. **2026 Successfully Completed Project-Based Housing –** PHA Representatives
3. **Tenant-Based Housing Updates**
    a. **Special Purpose Voucher Update –** PHA Representatives
4. **Funding, Program and Policy Updates**
    a. **Federal and State Legislation Update –** Cesar Covarrubias, The Kennedy Commission
5. **Housing Investment and Access**
    1. **Orange County Housing Funding Strategy –** Michelle Zdeba, Housing Development Manager, OC Housing and Community Development
    2. **Affordable Housing Access Platform –** Elizabeth Hansburg
6. **CoC Updates –** Felicia Boehringer, CoC Administrator, Office of Care Coordination
7. **Coordinated Entry System (CES) Updates –** Daniel Garcia, CES Administrator, Office of Care Coordination

Public Comments

CoC Strategic Plan: CoC Board Evaluation Framework

Tim Shaw, Strategy Owner and CoC Board Member

ORANGE COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE

CoC Board Evaluation Framework

Stakeholder Review & Input

Ad Hoc Committee on Board and CA/AE Evaluation Processes · June 2026

DRAFT FOR STAKEHOLDER INPUT — Not a Final Policy Document

Your feedback on this outline will shape the full draft before Board consideration.

What We'll Cover Today

1. **Why is this needed?**
   The case for a formal Board evaluation process
2. **How we got here**
   The process to develop the framework outline
3. **What the framework covers**
   Purpose, guiding principles, and regulatory grounding
4. **Scope of evaluation**
   Six domains
5. **The two-track structure**
   Biennial self-evaluation + quadrennial independent review
6. **How it works**
   Methodology, roles, calendar, and public accountability
7. **Your input**
   Open questions for discussion

Why a Formal Board Evaluation Process?

The Gap

- No formal Board evaluation process has ever been codified
- System complexity and responsibility have grown significantly
- State dollars, PHAs, ad hoc responsibilities added over time
- With 34 cities and no dominant municipal center, the CoC Board is best positioned to provide regional accountability
- Without a credible self-evaluation process, the Board can’t credibly claim that accountability role

What a Framework Enables

- Structured, regular self-reflection and continuous improvement
- Clarified roles between the Board and Collaborative Applicant
- Strengthened stakeholder trust and public credibility
- Alignment with HUD requirements and governance best practices
- A basis for the Board to hold itself accountable and model accountability for the system
- Durable framework to reflect on impact – Are we doing what we set out to do?
- Ability for the Board to hold a future focus

How We Got Here

The process to develop this framework outline

Jan 2026	Jan–Apr	Apr–Jun	Now	Jul 2026	Fall 2026
Board Action CoC Board approved ad hoc committee formation as Year 1 strategic priority	**Foundations** Project plan and engagement plan finalized; best practices research completed	**Framework Drafting** Committee developed this outline drawing on HUD guidance and other models	**Stakeholder Input** Outline shared with LEAC, YAB, OCC, Service Provider Forum, HOC, and other stakeholders for input	**Full Draft** Committee incorporates feedback and develops full policy and process framework	**Formal Approval** LEAC and Policies, Procedures & Standards Committee review; CoC Board final adoption

What the Framework Is Built On

Regulatory requirements + governance best practices

HUD Regulatory Baseline

- 24 CFR § 578.7 — CoC responsibilities (operate CoC, evaluate outcomes, monitor subrecipients)
- 24 CFR § 578.5(b) — Board establishment requirement
- 24 CFR § 578.9 — Conflict of interest
- HEARTH Act, 42 U.S.C. 11381–11389
- HUD CPD Exhibit 29-2 — CA evaluation reference

OC CoC Governance Charter

- Section V.B.1 — Board responsibilities
- Section IV.A — Operating the CoC
- Section IV.C — PPS Committee review and approval process
- Section V.B.2 — Board composition (incl. lived experience)
- Charter amendments will be recommended where needed

Governance Best Practices

- BoardSource — Board Self-Assessment tool, Recommended Governance Practices
- CSBA — Board Self-Evaluation framework, annual self-evaluation guidance
- National Council of Nonprofits — board accountability standards

HUD compliance is the floor. The framework aspires to governance excellence beyond minimum requirements.

Guiding Principles

Governance Ownership

The Board retains responsibility for establishing, administering, and acting on evaluation.

Transparency & Credibility

Processes and results documented and communicated to strengthen stakeholder confidence in Board governance.

Fairness & Constructiveness

Promote clarity, shared expectations, and continuous improvement. Not punitive or performative.

Accountability Without Paralysis

Findings translated into action.

Lived Experience Integration

Perspectives of people with lived experience are incorporated into evaluation design.

HUD Compliance as a Start

Framework meets all applicable HUD requirements while aspiring to excellence beyond compliance.

Scalability & Sustainability

Processes are manageable and repeatable, balancing administrative burden with meaningful rigor.

Scope: Six Evaluation Domains

The Board is evaluated as a collective governing body, not as individual members

1 Governance Foundations & Role Clarity

- Board vs. CA/AE role separation
- Charter compliance (composition, quorum, meetings, COI)
- Member orientation & education
- Policy currency and adherence

2 Strategic Direction & Systems Leadership

- Strategic plan development & oversight
- Goals for reducing/ending homelessness; HUD SPMs
- Alignment of funding/policy with priorities
- Regional coordination across 34 cities. County, Commission to Address Homelessness

3 Oversight & Accountability

- CA/AE performance and MOU compliance
- CoC program performance (APRs, NOFO results)
- HMIS and CES oversight and performance
- Fiscal stewardship on Board-led responsibilities; response to deficiencies

4 Community Representation & Member Engagement

- HEARTH Act rep. requirements incl. lived experience
- Ensuring spaces for diverse perspectives
- Communication of decisions to CoC membership
- Responsiveness to LEAC, YAB, Service Provider Forum
- Engagement with cities, County, PHAs

5 Board Operations & Culture

- CA/AE partnership depth & quality
- Meeting management, agenda quality, materials
- Member preparation & participation; public comment
- Board culture, decision-making, succession planning

6 Continuous Improvement

- Track record on prior evaluation findings
- Development & execution of Improvement Plans
- Periodic review/update of governance policies & Charter

What's Outside the Scope

NOT IN SCOPE:

CA/AE Performance

Covered under a separate framework (Deliverable 2 of the project). The CA/AE evaluation process will be developed after the Board framework is complete.

NOT IN SCOPE:

Individual Board Member Performance

The framework evaluates the Board as a collective body. Individual director evaluation is not included.

The committee may recommend voluntary individual member self-reflection tools as a complement.

NOT IN SCOPE:

HMIS & CES System Evaluation

Addressed separately. The ad hoc committee will recommend alignment and engagement with HMIS and CES evaluation processes as a later deliverable.

Two-Track Evaluation Structure

Together these tracks provide ongoing accountability and periodic external validation

TRACK 1

Biennial Self-Evaluation

Frequency:	Every 2 years — Q1 (avoids PiT timing)
Who evaluates:	Board members complete structured questionnaire; results aggregated, not attributed
Instrument:	Rating scale + open-ended questions; covers all 6 domains
Stakeholder input:	Member survey, LEAC/YAB input, CA/AE input — advisory and informational; does not constitute a separate evaluation
Output:	Findings report + written Board Improvement Plan, formally adopted
Public accountability:	Summary findings and Improvement Plan shared publicly with CoC membership

TRACK 2

Quadrennial Independent Review

Frequency:	Every 4 years — aligned with strategic planning cycle (avoids PiT years)
Who evaluates:	Independent outside evaluator, competitively selected; no conflicts with OC CoC operations
Scope:	All 6 domains + review of self-eval findings, peer benchmarking, document review, stakeholder interviews
Stakeholder input:	Structured interviews with Board, member agencies, LEAC/YAB, CA/AE leadership, other partners
Output:	Written evaluator report to Board; formal Board response and comprehensive Improvement Plan
Public accountability:	Full evaluator report and Board's written response posted publicly on CoC website

Roles & Responsibilities

Role / Entity	Biennial Self-Evaluation	Quadrennial Independent Evaluation
CoC Board	Completes self-evaluation instrument; reviews findings; adopts Board Improvement Plan	Participates in evaluator interviews; formally responds to report; adopts comprehensive Improvement Plan
Board Chair (or designated officer)	Oversees administration; presents findings to Board; leads Improvement Plan development	Serves as primary Board contact for evaluator; presents report to full Board
PPS Committee	Reviews instrument biennially for currency; receives Improvement Plan progress reports	Oversees RFP and evaluator selection; receives final report
CA/AE Staff	Administers survey; compiles and formats results; provides logistical support; provides advisory input	Provides document access and administrative support; does not direct or review findings; provides advisory input
CoC Stakeholders (LEAC, members, partners)	Provide advisory input via member survey and LEAC input process	Engage in design of surveys and participate in structured stakeholder interviews
Independent Evaluator	N/A	Conducts evaluation; delivers findings and recommendations to Board

Public Accountability & Transparency

The Board's accountability is owed to the CoC membership and the public

Made Public

- Summary of biennial self-evaluation findings (not individual responses)
- Adopted Board Improvement Plan
- Improvement Plan progress reports
- Full independent evaluator report (quadrennial) including Board's formal written response
- All posted on OC CoC website and shared with CoC member agencies

Protected / Confidential

- Individual Board member responses anonymized; aggregate results only
- Board deliberation on findings in closed session
- Board's discussion notes from evaluation session
- Evaluator's interim drafts and working materials (triennial)

Note on Brown Act / public meeting compliance: The Board will ensure its processes are consistent with applicable open meeting requirements. CSBA guidance on board self-evaluation and the Brown Act is a useful reference.

Building the Accountability the System Needs

Ad Hoc Committee on Board and CA/AE Evaluation Processes
Orange County Continuum of Care Board · 2026

Next step

Committee incorporates stakeholder feedback and develops full policy and process framework draft — July 2026

Then

LEAC and PPS Committee formal review; CoC Board consideration and adoption

This is your CoC

Your input at this stage shapes what gets built. Thank you for engaging!

Project Based Housing Updates

Project-Based Voucher Pipeline

PHA Representatives

Business Calendar – Item #2

Background

- Four PHAs in Orange County administer the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Program (also known as Section 8) to help qualified tenants afford permanent housing in the private market:
  - Orange County Housing Authority (OCHA)
  - Anaheim Housing Authority (AHA)
  - Santa Ana Housing Authority (SAHA)
  - Garden Grove Housing Authority (GGHA)
- Each PHA can "*project-base*" up to 20 percent of the HCVs to develop affordable and permanent supportive housing.
- PHAs may also project-base an additional 10 percent of their Annual Contributions Contract (ACC) authorized units above this program limit, provided these units fall into one of the eligible exception categories:
  - Units Made Available to House Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness
  - Units Made Available to House Veterans
  - Units Providing Supportive Services
  - Units Located in a Census Tract with a Poverty Rate of 20 percent or less; or
  - Units Made Available to Foster Youth Utilizing Family Unification Program (FUP)/Foster Youth to Independence (FYI) Awards

Business Calendar – Item #2

Background

- The Project-Based Voucher (PBV) program is a discretionary component of the HCV program. Under the PBV program, the PHA enters into a long-term Housing Assistance Payments Contract with the owner for a specified number of units for up to a 20-year term, with the option for an additional 20 years.
- Eligible persons are referred from the CES for Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) units created with PBVs.
- CES will prioritize individuals and families with the longest length of homelessness in the community and highest service needs determined by CES assessment tools and case conferencing.
- Tenants residing in project-based units pay 30 percent of their income for rent and utilities, and the balance of their housing costs are paid by the PHA. Tenants in project-based units are assisted as long as they live in the unit and continue to qualify for the program.

Business Calendar – Item #2

Project-Based Voucher Pipeline – Orange County Housing Authority

Project Name	City	PSH Units					Non-PSH	Total Units	Estimated Completion
		MHSA Units	NPLH Units	VASH PBV Units	PBV Units	Other PSH Restricted			
Avon River	Costa Mesa	24		10	14		30	78	10/01/2026
Lincoln Ave	Buena Park	13					42	55	12/01/2026
Casa Colibri	Midway City	30					41	71	06/01/2027

Business Calendar – Item #2

Project-Based Voucher Pipeline – Anaheim Housing Authority

Project Name	City	PSH Units					Non-PSH	Total Units Excluding Manager unit	Estimated Completion
		MHSA Units	NPLH Units	VASH PBV Units	PBV Units	Other PSH Restricted			
Azure Apts. (Studio 6)	Anaheim				87			87	February 2026
Tampico	Anaheim				31			31	February 2027
Lincoln Beach Apts.	Anaheim				46			46	January 2027

Business Calendar – Item #2

Project-Based Voucher Pipeline – Santa Ana Housing Authority

Project Name	City	PSH Units					Non-PSH	Total Units	Estimated Completion
		MHSA Units	NPLH Units	VASH PBV Units	PBV Units	Other PSH Restricted			
Bewley St.	Santa Ana				8	2	1 (Manager)	11	TBD

No upcoming Projects.

Business Calendar – Item #2

Project-Based Voucher Pipeline – Garden Grove Housing Authority

Project Name	City	PSH Units					Non-PSH	Total Units	Estimated Completion
		MHSA Units	NPLH Units	VASH PBV Units	PBV Units	Other PSH Restricted			

No upcoming Projects.

2026 Successfully Completed Project-Based Housing

PHA Representatives

Tenant-Based Housing Updates

Special Purpose Voucher Updates

PHA Representatives

Business Calendar – Item #3

Background

PHAs can set aside HCVs to address homelessness.

The four PHAs in Orange County can also apply for and receive Special Purpose Vouchers from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to serve people experiencing homelessness, including:

- **Mainstream Vouchers**
  - Mainstream Vouchers (MS5) assist non-elderly persons with disabilities. Aside from serving a special population, Mainstream Vouchers are administered using the same rules as other housing choice vouchers.
  - The 2017, 2018, and 2019 Appropriations Acts provided funded for new Mainstream Vouchers. Since 2018, HUD has awarded over $500 million in funding to PHAs to support 50,000 new Mainstream Vouchers.

Business Calendar – Item #3

Background

The four PHAs in Orange County apply for and receive Special Purpose Vouchers from HUD to serve people experiencing homelessness including:

- **Veteran Affairs Supportive Housing Vouchers**
  - The HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH) program combines HUD's Housing Choice Voucher rental assistance for homeless Veterans with case management and clinical services provided by the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA).
  - Referrals come from the VA Medical Center of Long Beach.

Business Calendar – Item #3

Background

The four PHAs in Orange County apply for and receive Special Purpose Vouchers from HUD to serve people experiencing homelessness including:

- **Family Unification Program and Foster Youth to Independence Vouchers**
  - The Family Unification Program (FUP) is a program under which HCVs are provided to two different populations:
    1. Families for whom the lack of adequate housing is a primary factor in:
       a. The imminent placement of the family's child or children in out-of-home care, or
       b. The delay in the discharge of the child or children to the family from out-of-home care.
    2. Eligible youth who are between 18 and 24 years of age, who have left foster care or will leave foster care within 90 days in accordance with a transition plan, and who are experiencing homelessness or are at risk of becoming homeless at age 16 or older.
  - PHAs administer the FUP and/or Foster Youth to Independence (FYI) vouchers in partnership with Public Child Welfare Agencies (PCWAs) who are responsible for referring FUP families and youths to the PHA for determination of eligibility for rental assistance.
  - Referrals come from the Orange County Social Services Agency.

Business Calendar – Item #3

Voucher Summary Chart

Voucher Type (Tenant-Based)	Orange County Housing Authority	Anaheim Housing Authority	Santa Ana Housing Authority	Garden Grove Housing Authority
Homeless Set-Aside		X	X	
Mainstream Voucher (MS5)	X	X	X	X
Veteran Affairs Supportive Housing Voucher (VASH – Tenant-Based)	X	X	X	
Family Unification Program (FUP) Voucher	X			
Foster Youth Independence (FYI) Voucher	X		X	
CoC Tenant Based Rental Assistance (CoC TRA)	X			
Housing Opportunities for People with AIDS		X		
Non-Elderly Disabled	X	X	X	

Business Calendar – Item #3

Special Purpose Voucher Updates – Orange County Housing Authority

Voucher Type	Allocation	In The Pipeline	Vouchers Searching	Leased
Mainstream Voucher (MS5)	251	0	9	199
Veteran Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH – Tenant-Based)	943	30	103	846
Family Unification Program (FUP)	325	6	18	294
Foster Youth Independence (FYI)	50	9	3	44

Updated 10/05/26

Business Calendar – Item #3

Special Purpose Voucher Updates – Anaheim Housing Authority

Voucher Type	Allocation	In The Pipeline	Vouchers Searching	Leased
Mainstream Voucher (MS5)	397	0	6	332
Veteran Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH – Tenant-Based)	104	2	8	84

Business Calendar – Item #3

Special Purpose Voucher Updates – Santa Ana Housing Authority

Voucher Type	Allocation	In The Pipeline	Vouchers Searching	Leased
Mainstream Voucher (MS5) (Tenant-Based)	231	0	1	170
Veteran Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH – Tenant-Based)	171	3	15	142
Foster Youth Independence (FYI)	125	8	13	102

Business Calendar – Item #3

Special Purpose Voucher Updates – Garden Grove Housing Authority

Voucher Type	Allocation	In The Pipeline	Vouchers Searching	Leased
Mainstream Voucher (MS5)	75	1	0	68

Business Calendar – Item #3

Special Purpose Voucher Updates – Orange County Totals

Voucher Type	Allocation	In The Pipeline	Vouchers Searching	Leased
Mainstream Voucher (MS5)	945	1	16	769
Veteran Affairs Supportive Housing Voucher (VASH – Tenant-Based)	1218	35	126	1072
Family Unification Program (FUP) Voucher	325	6	18	294
Foster Youth Independence (FYI) Voucher	175	17	16	146
TOTALS	**2,672**	**59**	**176**	**2,281 (85%)**

Reflective of data submitted from 6/09/26 – 10/07/26

Funding, Program and Policy Updates

Federal and State Legislation Update

Cesar Covarrubias, The Kennedy Commission

Housing Investment and Access

Orange County Housing Funding Strategy

Michelle Zdeba, Housing Development Manager, OC Housing and Community Development

Affordable Housing Access Platform

Elizabeth Hansburg

CoC Updates

Felicia Boehringer, CoC Administrator,
Office of Care Coordination

Business Calendar – Item #6

Office of Care Coordination
Team Member Updates

Business Calendar – Item #6

HOME Documentary Screening and Discussion

- Human Options is hosting an upcoming virtual event for the CoC in recognition of Domestic Violence Awareness Month.
- The HOME documentary highlights the intersection of domestic violence and homelessness, including the importance of cross-sector collaboration and centering survivor voices in creating systems-level change.
  - **Date**: Tuesday, October 27, 2026
  - **Time**: 2:00 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.
  - **Meeting Link**: Microsoft Teams



Business Calendar – Item #6

OC Same-Day Solutions Fair

- The Office of Care Coordination will host the OC Same-Day Solutions Fair on October 15, 2026, in partnership with The First Christian Church (FCC), in Huntington Beach.
- The Office of Care Coordination has been working to schedule recurring events with The HUB OC as well as in other cities throughout Orange County. To host or participate in an upcoming OC Same-Day Solutions Fair, please contact the Office of Care Coordination (CareCoordination@ceo.oc.gov)
- Upcoming events:
  - October 15, 2026 – Huntington Beach
  - November 18, 2026 – Orange (The HUB OC)



Coordinated Entry System (CES) Updates

Daniel Garcia, CES Administrator,
Office of Care Coordination

Individual CES - August 2026

New Enrollments



Total Individual Enrollments



MHSA Certified on CQ
731

Mental Health DC on CQ
2187

Exits



Exit Destinations for July



Adult-Only Households 5951 (4.8%) *including* Seniors (62+) 1024

Households on the CQ 3870 (1.8%)

Chronically Homeless Households 3000 (5.2%)

Chronically Homeless Households on the CQ 1990 (2.3%)

Housing Referrals Made: 36

DATA ANALYSIS

During August, **592** households were enrolled into Individual CES.

At the end of August, there were **5,951** enrollments in Individual CES, an increase of **270 (4.8%)** from July 2026. The number of chronically homeless households increased by **148 (5.2%)**. There were **688** seniors on the CQ.

230 households were exited, including **53** who moved into permanent housing, and **9** exiting to a temporary housing destination.

In August, **36** housing referrals were made through Individual CES.

From September 1, 2025 – August 31, 2026, there have been **792** households that have moved into permanent housing.

Top 5 Access Points by Total Current Enrollments



Temporary Destinations



Permanent Destinations



Permanent Supportive Housing
9

Rental by client, with ongoing subsidy
28

Rental by client, with no ongoing subsidy
19

*Data as of September 1, 2026

Family CES - August 2026

New Enrollments



Total Family Enrollments



MHSA Certified on CQ
100

Mental Health DC on CQ
10

Exits



Exit Destinations for July



Family Households *including*
377 1.3% ↓
672 Children
10 Seniors (62+)
539 Adults

Families on the CQ *including*
260 0.4% ↓
466 Children
5 Seniors (62+)
356 Adults

Chronically Homeless Families
81 5.2% ↑

Chronically Homeless Families on the CQ
63 14.5% ↑

Housing Referrals Made: 19

Temporary Destinations



Permanent Destinations



DATA ANALYSIS

During August, **59** households were enrolled into Family CES.

At the end of July, there were **377** enrollments in Family CES, a decrease of **5 (1.3%)** from July 2026. The number of chronically homeless households increased by **4 (5.2%)**.

59 households were exited, including **13** who moved into permanent housing, and **3** exiting to a temporary housing destination.

In August, **19** housing referrals were made through Family CES.

From September 1, 2025 – August 31, 2026, there have been **200** families that have moved into permanent housing.

Top 5 Access Points by Total Current Enrollments



Permanent Supportive Housing
0

Rental by client, with ongoing subsidy
0

Rental by client, with no ongoing subsidy
7

*Data as of September 1, 2026

Survivor CES - August 2026

New Enrollments

Total Survivor Enrollments



Survivor Households

89

including
Adults 96
Children 64
TAY 8

Chronically Homeless Survivor Households

56

Survivor Households on the CQ

81

including
Adults 83
Children 37

Chronically Homeless Survivor Households on the CQ

43

Referrals by Access Point for July



Survivor Queue Preference



Exits



Exit Destinations for June



Length of Stay in SCES Program



DATA ANALYSIS

During August, **1** household was enrolled into Survivor CES.

At the end of August, there were **89** households enrolled, which is a decrease of 4 from July.

There were **4** Senior (62+) households in SCES.

During August, **4** households were exited, with **12** households with a pending referral.

There were **3** housing opportunities were made available directly to SCES, with **1** household receiving a referral through ICES, and **3** households receiving a referral through FCES.

From September 1, 2025 – August 31, 2026, there have been **26** Survivor households that have moved into permanent housing.

Temporary Destinations



Permanent Destinations



Housing Referrals Made: 3

Of the **74** Survivor households who were on the SCES Community Queue, **29** households were interested in ICES housing opportunities and **26** households were interested in FCES housing opportunities.

19 Survivor households were only interested in SCES housing.

Rental by client, with ongoing subsidy
2

Rental by client, with no ongoing subsidy
0

*Data as of September 1, 2026

Veteran Registry - August 2026

New Enrollments

Total Veteran Enrollments



Exits



Veteran Households
219 ▼ 1.7%
including Seniors (62+) 72

Chronically Homeless Veteran Households
90 ▲ 1.1%

Veteran Households on CQ
73 ▼ 24%

Chronically Homeless Veteran Households on CQ
20 ▼ 31%

Exit Destinations for August



Temporary Destinations

Permanent Destinations



DATA ANALYSIS

During August, **26** Veteran households were enrolled into Individual CES and Family CES.

At the end of August, there were **219** Veteran household enrollments, an decrease of **4 (1.7%)** from July. The number of chronically homeless households increased by **1 (1.1%)**.

During August, **31** Veteran households were exited, including **16** who moved into permanent housing.

During August, **19** housing referrals were made through the Veteran Registry.

From September 1, 2025 – August 31, 2026, there have been **231** Veteran households that have moved into permanent housing.

Enrollments by Access Point - Top 5



Housing Referrals Made:
19

Rental by client, with ongoing subsidy
15

Rental by client, with no ongoing subsidy
1

*Data as of September 1, 2026

Transitional Aged Youth Registry - August 2026

New Enrollments

Total Households on the TAY Registry



Exits



TAY Households

252 ^ 4.1%

including
HHs In ICES 228
HHs In FCES 24

Chronically Homeless TAY Households

69 ^ 5%

TAY Households on CQ

185 ^ 6%

Chronically Homeless TAY Households on CQ

59 ^ 13%

Exit Destinations for August



Temporary Destinations



Permanent Destinations



DATA ANALYSIS

During August, **51** TAY households were enrolled into CES. At the end of August, there were **252** TAY households enrolled, an increase of **10 (4%)** from July. The number of chronically homeless TAY households increased by **3 (3%).**

During August, **15** TAY households were exited, including **7** who moved into permanent housing, and **1** exiting to a temporary housing destination.

Of the **7** TAY households who moved into rental housing, **1** had ongoing rental assistance, and **6** did not have rental assistance.

During August, **no** TAY housing referrals were made.

From September 1, 2025 – August 31, 2026, there have been **81** TAY households that have moved into permanent housing.

Enrollments by Access Point - Top 5



Housing Referrals Made: 0

Rental by client, with ongoing subsidy 1

Rental by client, with no ongoing subsidy 6

*Data as of September 1, 2026

Business Calendar – Item #7

CES Evaluation Update

- The County of Orange CoC authorized a CES Evaluation to be completed by Element Consulting Group in November 2025.
- Element Consulting Group has completed their evaluation and has begin to share findings and next steps on those findings through two separate meetings of various CES stakeholders.
- The first meeting took place on September 24, at The Orangewood Foundation
- The second meeting will take place on October 20, at the Delhi Center
- The Office of Care Coordination, as the CES lead for the County of Orange, will announce more updates related to the CES Evaluation as updates are made available.

CES Subpopulation Metrics

Subpopulation	Households on the Community Queue	Chronically Homeless Households on the Community Queue	Temporary or Permanent Exit Destination (April 2026)	Households Housed (May 2025 – April 2026)
Individual CES (ICES)	3,532	2,463	20 – Temporary 85 – Permanent	904
Family CES (FCES)	226	61	4 – Temporary 12 – Permanent	236
CES for Survivors (SCES)	73	29	1 – Permanent	35
Veteran Registry	109	80	0 – Temporary 24 – Permanent	221
Transitional Aged Youth (TAY) Registry	159	61	4 – Temporary 3 – Permanent	94

As of April 30, 2026

Next Meeting:

Wednesday, December 9, 2026, from 10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m., at OCHA, 1501 East Saint Andrew Place, 1st Floor, Conference Room A, Santa Ana, CA 92705

CoC Board and CoC Committee Materials can be found on the CoC webpage located at: https://ceo.oc.gov/care-coordination/homeless-services/2026-continuum-care

For other inquiries, please contact the Office of Care Coordination at CareCoordination@ceo.oc.gov

COUNTY OF ORANGE

OFFICE OF CARE COORDINATION